


CS 007: SESSION 2


# PERSONAL FINANCE FOR ENGINEERS





CS 007

PREDICTABLY  
IRRATIONAL



\*"Predictably Irrational" is the title of a book by Dan Ariely

\* DesignHacks.co: 188 Known Cognitive Biases



# BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

How many of you think you are  
rational with your money?

(show of hands)





ANCHORING



MENTAL ACCOUNTING



CONFIRMATION &  
HINDSIGHT BIAS



GAMBLER'S FALLACY

# YOU ARE NOT RATIONAL




HERD BEHAVIOR



OVERCONFIDENCE



OVERREACTION &  
AVAILABILITY BIAS




LOSS AVERSION



# WHY BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS?


- A number of economic frameworks assume that humans evaluate financial decisions consistently & rationally
- Daniel Kahneman & Amos Tversky (1960s)
- 2002 Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences
- Prospect Theory





# THREE THEMES IN BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS

- **Heuristics**  
Humans make a vast majority of their decisions using mental shortcuts or rules of thumb.
- **Framing**  
Humans use anecdotes & stereotypes to understand & respond to events
- **Market Inefficiencies**  
Mis-pricing or non-rational decision making





# ANCHORING

- People estimate answers to new & novel problems with a bias towards reference points
- **Tversky & Kahneman (1974)**  
(quick multiplication)
- **Dan Ariely**  
(social security numbers & prices)
- Common examples:
  - Price you bought a stock at
  - High point for a stock





# MENTAL ACCOUNTING


- Money is fungible, but people put it into separate “mental accounts”
- Also known as “bucketing”
- Example: Lost Movie Tickets
- Example: “Found Money”
- Real world problems:  
Vacation Fund & Credit Card Debt





# CONFIRMATION & HINDSIGHT BIAS

- Very different biases, but often conflated with each other.
- **Confirmation Bias**  
We selectively seek information that supports pre-existing theories, and we ignore / dispute information that challenges or disproves them.
- **Hindsight Bias**  
We overestimate our ability to predict the future based on the “obviousness” of the past.
- Combination of the two is particularly bad.





# GAMBLER'S FALLACY

- We see patterns in independent, random chains of events.
- We believe that, based on a series of previous events, an outcome is more likely than odds actually suggest.
- Example: Dinner Party & Coin Flips
- Real odds might be 51/49, but we tend to jump to 80/20.
- Likely cause: the rarity of "independent events" in day-to-day experience.





# HERD BEHAVIOR

- We have a tendency to mimic the actions of the larger group
- Example: Building Psych Experiment
- Example: Empty Supermarket
- Crowd psychology may be a contributor to bubbles.
- Bucking the crowd creates stress & fatigue. It gets harder, not easier.
- Easier to be "wrong with everyone" than "right and alone"
- No gets fired for buying IBM?





# OVERCONFIDENCE

- In one study, 74% of investment managers believe they deliver above average returns.
- Positively correlated with IQ.
- The smarter you are, the more likely it is that you are a victim of this particular bias.
- Humility is a virtue.






# OVERREACTION & AVAILABILITY BIAS

- **Overreaction**  
We overreact to recent events
- Example: Celebrity Illness
- **Availability Bias**  
We assume that the data we have been provided is representative of the entire data set.
- The combination is particularly bad.
- Studies show checking stock prices daily leads to more trading & worse results on average.
- Worse for engineers, because we are immersed in "game changers" & "it is different this time"

## THE AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC



JamesClear.com



YOU HAVE **\$1,000** AND YOU MUST PICK  
ONE OF THE FOLLOWING GAMES

A

You have a 50% chance of gaining \$1,000, and  
a 50% chance of gaining \$0.

OR

B

You have a 100% chance of gaining \$500.



NOW, YOU HAVE **\$2,000** AND YOU MUST  
PICK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING GAMES

A

You have a 50% chance of losing \$1,000, and  
a 50% chance of losing \$0.

OR


B

You have a 100% chance of losing \$500.



# LOSS AVERSION (PROSPECT THEORY)

- We hate losses more than we love winning
- Average loss aversion across multiple studies is between 2:1 and 3:1
- Affects our views on a wide range of situations, including career decisions, dating, purchasing, investing and taxes.
- We even hate being responsible for decisions that could result in a loss
- Example: "Sunk Cost" mistakes with investments
- **Currently under debate!** There is some debate now about whether this effect is a product of confirmation bias in research! \*






IT'S OK TO **NOT** BE RATIONAL



# IT'S OK TO NOT BE RATIONAL

- As Dan Ariely beautifully put it, the key is that humans are **predictably irrational**
- Know your own flaws, and you can set up systems to help account for them
- Self awareness is key  
(yes, my Mom is a psychologist)





# ADDITIONAL COURSES & MATERIAL

- Econ 178: Behavioral Economics
- Econ 278: Behavioral & Experimental Economics
- ACCT 618 (GSB): Market Efficiency & Informational Arbitrage
- Coursera / Duke: Behavioral Finance  
<https://www.coursera.org/learn/duke-behavioral-finance>





CS 007

# QUESTIONS





# NEXT WEEK: GETTING PAID

- Compensation
- Different models & methods
- Public vs. Private Companies
- Stock Options vs. Restricted Stock
- Understanding Equity Compensation
- Taxes

